

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/697,480	10/30/2003	Pavel Krlin	220-308/TEL0690-01	7411
832 75	90 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	4

DATE MAILED. 00/01/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
10/697	480	KRLIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary Examin	er	Art Unit				
	L. Chiesa	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on a Period for Reply	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the search of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the analycept received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	event, however, may a reply be tin tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exce		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		••				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	cented or b) objected	I to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s	•	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requ	••	• •				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	<u>-</u> , .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority t a) All b) Some * c) None of:	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docur	• •					
application from the International Bureau (PCT R						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce	, ,,	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/697,480

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings filed on October 30, 2003 are acceptable to the examiner.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993), *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application Serial No. 10/387,829 and claims 1-21 of copending Application Serial No. 10/400,360. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only differences between the claims appear to be mere matters of semantics such as referring to a piston as a piston assembly and a primer chamber as a housing defining a priming chamber.

Art Unit: 1724

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the admitted prior art described on pages 1 and 2 of the specification. The admitted prior art described on pages 1 and 2 of the specification disclose a carburetor apparatus and carburetor priming method as claimed (35 USC 102b) because the paragraph bridging pages 1 and 2 of the specification describe a primer bulb which also seals off an internal vent passage. It would appear that the admitted prior art does not refer to this sealing mechanism as a "blocking element". However, it would have been readily obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (35 USC 103a) that this sealing portion of the primer bulb forms a "blocking element" due to the fact that it provides a vent blocking or sealing function.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-9 would be allowable upon receipt of a proper terminal disclaimer.
- 9. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicants' reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the recited carburetor apparatus with the recited vent, primer chamber, fuel bowl, and piston in the recited positioning and operative relationship.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,480

Art Unit: 1724

11. Claims 10-22 would also be allowable if the phrase --comprising a piece of equipment

Page 5

separate from the primer bulb-- was inserted immediately after the phrase "blocking element" in

claim 10, line 11 and claim 20, line 3.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure because

these references show other carburetors and/or priming systems.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Blaine R. Copenheaver, can be reached at (571) 272-1156.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Richard L. Chiesa May 26, 2004

Richard L. Chiesa RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1724

May 26, 2004